

C. 17
3/10/00



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/174,337	10/19/98	JORNE	9886

JACOB JORNE
359 WESTMINSTER ROAD
ROCHESTER NY 14607

IM31/0313

EXAMINER
VALENTINE, D

ART UNIT
1741

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/174,337

Applicant(s)

Jorne et al

Examiner

Donald R. Valentine

Group Art Unit

1741



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Donald R. Valentine

(3) _____

(2) Victor Johnson

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 10, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 39

Identification of prior art discussed:

5,429,733 Ishida

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Claim 39 appeared to be rejectable over the Ishida reference which was of record. Claim 39 is a newly filed claim. Mr Johnson requested delaying further action for a couple of weeks in order that he may consider the merits of the application and also submit an Information Disclosure Statement. Mr. Johnson has recently taken over the case from Mr. Caplan, previously of record and now retired.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DONALD R. VALENTINE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1741

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.